



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
Nathan Renteria,)
)
Defendant.)
_____)

Case No.: ^{SA} CR08-0208-AG-1

ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING
[Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.1(a)(6);
18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)]

The defendant having been arrested in this District pursuant to a warrant issued by the United States District Court for the Central Dist. of California for alleged violation(s) of the terms and conditions of (his/her [probation] [supervised release]); and

The Court having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a),

The Court finds that:

- A. (✓) The defendant has not met (his)/her burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to flee if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based on prior supervised release violation, uncertain

1 employment status and discrepancies, prior failures
2 to comply with court orders, lied to probation officer
3 that he had never been to girlfriend's son's school

4 and/or

5 B. X) The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by
6 clear and convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to pose
7 a danger to the safety of any other person or the community if
8 released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based
9 on: instant allegations, failure to register as
10 sex offender, prior violation of supervised
11 release, fact that he volunteered on the campus,
12 prior violation was in part for failure to participate
13 in sex offender treatment

14 IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending
15 the further revocation proceedings.

16
17 Dated: 12/10/13

Jean Rosenbluth
18 JEAN ROSENBLUTH
19 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28